Causal consistency in Geo-replicated Systems

Deepthi Akkoorath AG SoftTech















Lamport's Timestamps

- Total order of events satisfying Happened-before relation
- Each process has a Logical clock
- A process increments its clock for each event
- Sends clock with each message it sends
- On receiving a message
 - Sets clock = max(own clock, received clock)

Lamport's Timestamps

- Total order of events satisfying Happened-before relation
- Each process has a Logical clock
- A process increments its clock for each event
- Sends clock with each message it sends
- On receiving a message
 - Sets clock = max(own clock, received clock)

Vectorclocks

- Similar to Lamport's timestamp
- Partial order and detect causality violations
- A system on N process
 - Vectorclock = array of N logical clocks
 - Each process has a vectorclock
 - Increment its own logical clock for each event
 - On receiving a message
 - Set each entry in vc to be max(local entry, corresponding entry in received vc)

























Version Vectors

- Similar to vector clocks
- Partial order among replicas of an object
- Several mechanisms to keep size of version vector small
 - Bounded Version Vectors
 - Dotted Version Vectors
- Causality across objects cannot be tracked













Clock	R1	R2	R3	R4
P1	0	2	0	0
P2	1	0	3	0
P3	0	0	0	1

- Dependency matrices to track causality
- Client updates its DM when ever it reads a new version

Clock	R1	R2	R3	R4
P1	0	2	0	0
P2	1	0	3	0
P3	0	0	0	1

• Client has seen first 2 updates at replica 2 of partition 1

• Each Partition has its own version vector - VV

VV	R1	R2	R3	R4
P1/R1	1	2	1	0

- P1 at DC1 has
 - 1 local update
 - 2 updates from R2
 - 1 update from R3

- Client send put(k,v,DM) to partition P1 at DC1
- P1 at DC1
 - Increment its own VV[R1]
 - Ts = VV[R1]
 - New entry U<k, v, 2, DM, R1>
 - Replicate U to P1 at DC2 and DC3
- On receiving U< k, v, ts, DM, replicaid> at Pn
 - Check VV >= DM[n]
 - Check if causality is satisfied at other partitions
 - Update VV[replicaid] = ts

Total order in a partitioned system

- Snapshot isolation
 - Reads a consistent snapshot
- Consistent Snapshot
 - Includes all updates committed before snapshot time
- Transactions commit in total order
- Snapshot identified by its commit time
- Update A is causally before B if A.commit-time < B.commit-time

Clock SI – Snapshot Isolation using physical clocks

- Loosely synchronized clocks
- No centralized time-stamp generator
- Distributed protocol
- Snapshot-time
 - Time when transaction begins
 - Reads return values committed on or before this time
- Commit-time decided by transaction coordinator and partitions involved in transaction



Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions







Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions







Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions
- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 11
- Reply 11
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 9
- Reply 9
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 10
- Reply 10
- Commit-time = 11

Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions
- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 11
- Reply 11
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 9
- Reply 9
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 10
- Reply 10
- Commit-time = 11

Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions
- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 11
- Reply 11
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 9
- Reply 9
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 10
- Reply 10
- Commit-time = 11

Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions
- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 11
- Reply 11
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 9
- Reply 9
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 10
- Reply 10
- Commit-time = 11

Txn Coordinator

- T.snapshottime = Localclock
 = 8
- Send prepare to partitions
- Commit-time = max(11,9,10)
- Commit to partitions
- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 11
- Reply 11
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 9
- Reply 9
- Commit-time = 11

- Receive Prepare
- Localclock = 10
- Reply 10
- Commit-time = 11

Clock SI – Read protocol

Read(Transaction T, dataitem Obj)

- Wait if T.snapshotime > localclock
- If any pending Transaction T' with possible commit-time < T'.snapshottime
 - wait until T' is committed
- Return latest snapshot before snapshot-time

Extended ClockSI: Partitioned and Replicated System

- Vectorclock per partitic R1 R2 R3 R4 P1/R1 10 9 13 8
 - P1 at DC1 has seen all updates from DC2 before time 9
- Snapshot-time is Vectorclock of coordinator at the time when transaction begins
- Updates in a transaction depends on Snapshot which it reads from
- Snapshot-time encodes causal dependency

Extended ClockSI: Replication

- P1 at DC1 sends updates to P1 at DC2 in *Commit-time* order
- Send snapshot-time and commit-time with every update
- On receiving an update U<DC, Commit-time, Snapshot-time> from a partition
 - Apply U if local vectorclock > Snapshot-time
 - Set vectorclock[DC] = Commit-time

Extended ClockSI: Read

- Upon receiving a read request in a partition
 - Wait until local vectorclock >= snapshot-time
 - Return latest value before snapshot-time
- Causality metadata = O(N)
- No communication between partitions



















Conclusion

- Total ordering using Lamport's timestamp
- Causality tracking using Vectorclocks
- Explicit causality tracking
 - Orbe using dependency matrix
 - ClockSI using physical clock and dependency vector

Reference

- 1. Leslie Lamport, 1978, "*Time,Clocks and the Ordering of Events in a Distributed System*", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 21
- Colin J. Fidge, 1988, "*Timestamps in Message-Passing Systems That Preserve the Partial Ordering*". In K. Raymond (Ed.). Proc. of the 11th Australian Computer Science Conference (ACSC'88). pp. 56–66. Retrieved 2009-02-13.
- 3. D. Stott Parker et.al, "*Detection of mutual inconsistency in distributed systems*" Transactions on Software Engineering, 9(3):240–246, 1983.
- 4. B. Charron-Bost, "*Concerning the size of logical clocks in distributed systems*", Information Processing Letter, Vol. 39, 1991
- Jiaqing Du et.al, "Orbe: scalable causal consistency using dependency matrices and physical clocks" SOCC'13 Proceedings of 4th annual Symposium on Cloud Computing, 2013
- Jiaqing Du et.al, "ClockSI: Snapshot Isolation for Partitioned Data Stored Using Loosely Synchronized Clocks", SRDS'13 Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Symposium of Reliable Distributed Systems