

## 8. Immerman & Szegesényi's Theorem

Goal: Show that for  $s(n) \geq \log n$

The class  $\text{NSPACE}(s(n))$  is closed under complement.

Importance: • Shows  $NL = \text{co-NL}$

↳ This is like  $NP = \text{co-NP}$  but for space.

↳ Does not follow from Savitch.

• Solves the second LBTR problem posed by Kuroda '64:

↳ Kuroda showed that

non-deterministic, linear-bounded automata

(NTM with linear space bound)

accept precisely

the context-sensitive languages.

↳ Kuroda posed two questions:

(1) Are the languages accepted by NLBTR  
precisely the languages accepted by DLBTR?

In our terms:

$\text{NSPACE}(\Theta(n)) = \text{DSPACE}(\Theta(n))$  ?

(2) Are the languages accepted by NLBTR  
closed under complement:

$\text{NSPACE}(\Theta(n)) = \text{co-NSPACE}(\Theta(n))$  ?

↳ Kuroda showed that

$\neg(2) \Rightarrow \neg(1)$ .

This did not help much as

Immerman and Szegesényi proved (2) to hold !

↳ Problem (1) is still open.

- History: • The result was proved independently 1988 and 1987  
 by ↳ Neil Immerman (big fish already those days,  
 Univ. of Massachusetts Amherst)  
 ↳ Robert Szekeresnyi (student in Bratislava, Slovakia).  
 • Both received the Gödel-Prize 1995.  
 • The result brought the method of inductive counting to complexity theory.

Theorem (Immerman & Szekeresnyi 1988'87):

For  $s(n) \geq \log n$ , we have

$$\text{NPSPACE}(s(n)) = \text{co-NPSPACE}(s(n)).$$

The key of the proof is to show  
 that non-reachability in a graph can be solved  
 in non-deterministic logarithmic space.

Let  $\overline{\text{PATH}}$  be the problem

Given: A directed graph  $G$  w.t nodes  $s$  and  $t$ .

Problem: Show that there is no path from  $s$  to  $t$ .

Theorem:

$$\overline{\text{PATH}} \in \text{NL}.$$

Proof:

- Idea:
- To check that  $t$  is not reachable from  $s$ ,  
 enumerate all nodes that are reachable from  $s$   
 and check that  $t$  is not among them.
  - Sounds too easy? How to enumerate all nodes  
 in logarithmic space? Think differently?  
 How to ensure that all nodes reachable from  $s$  were enumerated?  
 Clever idea: Counting?

In detail: • Assume we are given

$$N = \# \text{ nodes reachable from } s. \\ (\text{number of})$$

We show below how to compute  $N$  in NL.

- Given  $N$ , the following algorithm

↳ checks that  $t$  is not reachable from  $s$

in  $G = (V, \rightarrow)$  with  $|V| = n$ ,

↳ works in NL.

bool unreach ( $G, s, t$ )

begin

// Given  $N = \# \text{ nodes reachable from } s$ .

count := 0;

for every node  $v$  do

"make a non-deterministic guess  
whether  $v$  is reachable from  $s$ ";

if guess = true then

"non-deterministically try to guess a path  
from  $s$  to  $v$  of length  $\leq n$ ";

if "guessed path does not lead to  $v$ " then

// Wrong path or wrong guess.

return false;

else if  $v = t$  then

// Reachable.

return false;

else

count ++;

// Another reachable node  $\neq t$  found.

end if

end for

```

if count < N then           // Guessed incorrectly
    return false;          // about reachability for some v.
else
    return true;           // Unreachable.
end if
end

```

Algorithm `unreach` runs in non-deterministic logarithmic space.  
Indeed,  $N$  and  $\text{count}$  can be at most  $n$ ,  
so they can be written down in binary at length  $\log n$ .

### Lemma (Correction):

Algorithm `unreach(G, s, t)` has a computation that returns true  
iff  $t$  is not reachable from  $s$ .

### Proof:

The algorithm makes sure it enumerates all nodes reachable from  $s$   
by comparing  $\text{count}$  with  $N$ .

The algorithm accepts iff  $t$  was not one of the  $N$  nodes  
reachable from  $s$ .  $\square$

It remains to compute

$$N = \# \text{nodes reachable from } s.$$

The key idea, nowadays called method of inclusion counting,  
is to inductively compute the values

$$R(i) := \# \text{nodes reachable from } s \text{ in } \leq i \text{ steps.}$$

$$\text{Then } N = R(n).$$

# Übersetzung IV Brach(G,s)

begin

$R(0) := 1$ ; // s is reachable from s in 0 steps.

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  do

$R(i) := 0$ ; // Initialize  $R(i)$

(\*) for every node  $v$  do

// Try all nodes  $u$  reachable from  $s$  in  $\leq i-1$  steps.

// Check if  $v$  is reachable from such a  $u$  in  $\leq 1$  steps.

count := 0;

for every node  $u$  do

"make a non-deterministic guess"

whether  $u$  is reachable from  $s$  in  $\leq i-1$  steps"

if guess = true then

"non-deterministically try to guess a path

from  $s$  to  $u$  of length  $\leq i-1$ "

if "guessed path does not lead to  $u$ " then

return false;

else

count++; // If  $u$  is reachable, count it in.

if  $u=v$  or  $u \rightarrow v$  then

$R(i)++$

goto (\*); // Go to next iteration  
of "for  $v$ " loop.

and if

end if

end if

end for

// Loop for  $u$ .

if count <  $R(i-1)$  then return false; // Guessed incorrectly  
about readability  
for some  $v$ .

```

end for           // Loop for v.
end for           // Loop for i.
return R(n);

```

end

Remark:

At any point in time, algorithm  $\#reach$  needs to remember only two successive values  $R(i-1)$  and  $R(i)$ .

So it can reuse space when computing  $R(1), \dots, R(n)$ , and can be made run in  $N$ .

Lemma:

$\#reach$  computes the number of nodes reachable from  $s$ .

Proof:

We proceed by induction on  $i$  and show that upon termination of the iteration for  $i$ :

$$R(i) = \# \text{nodes reachable in } \leq i \text{ steps}$$

Base :  $R(0) = 1$  is correct.

case  
( $i=0$ )

Induction step : Assume the equality holds for  $R(i)$ , and consider  $R(i+1)$ .

The algorithm increments  $R(i+1)$  on a node  $v$  iff  $v$  is reachable in  $\leq i+1$  steps.

To see this, note that  $R(i+1)$  is not incremented only if all nodes at distance  $\leq i$  from  $s$  were tried and  $v$  is not reachable in  $\leq i$  steps from any of them. We are sure to check all nodes at distance  $\leq i$  by comparing count with  $R(i-1)$ . □

### Summary:

To check that  $t$  is not reachable from  $s$ ,  
we first run algorithm #reach to compute  $N$ .  
Then we run unreach with that  $N$ .  
Since both (non-deterministic) algorithms  
run in logarithmic space,  
the total space required by the procedure is  $O(\log n)$ .  $\square$