

M. Closure Properties of Context-free Languages

M.1 Positive Results

Goal: Show closure under $\cup, \dots, *, h, h^{-1}$, substitution, and regular intersection.

Theorem:

The context-free languages are closed under union, concatenation, and Kleene star.

Proof:

Assume the languages are given by context-free grammars. \square

The constructions are easy.

Definition:

• A substitution is a function

$$\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta^*)$$

that assigns to each letter a a language $\sigma(a)$ over Δ .

A substitution is regular, if $\sigma(a)$ is a regular language for each $a \in \Sigma$.

• context-free, if $\sigma(a)$ is a context-free language for each $a \in \Sigma$.

• The application of σ to $w \in \Sigma^*$

yields the language

$$\sigma(w) := \{\epsilon\}, \quad \text{if } w = \epsilon$$

$$\sigma(w) := \sigma(a_1) \dots \sigma(a_n), \quad \text{if } w = a_1 \dots a_n.$$

The application of σ to $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ yields

$$\sigma(L) := \bigcup_{w \in L} \sigma(w).$$

Note: Homomorphisms are substitutions where $\sigma(a)$ consists of a single word for each $a \in \Sigma$.

Theorem:

- The regular languages are closed under regular substitutions.
- The context-free languages are closed under context-free substitutions:
If L is context-free and $\sigma(a) \in \Delta^*$ is context-free for all $a \in \Sigma$, then $\sigma(L) \subseteq \Delta^*$ is context-free.

Proof:

We show the statement for context-free languages.

Let $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ be the grammar for L .

Let $G_a = (N_a, \Delta, P_a, S_a)$ be the grammar for $\sigma(a)$, for each $a \in \Sigma$.

Wlog. we assume the non-terminals in G and in the G_a are pairwise disjoint.

We replace every occurrence of a in a right-hand side of a rule in P by S_a , the start symbol of G_a . \square

Corollary: The context-free languages are closed under homomorphisms.

Theorem:

The context-free languages are closed under inverse homomorphisms:

If $L \subseteq \Delta^*$ is context-free and $h: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is a homomorphism,

then $h^{-1}(L) \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is context-free.

Proof:

We use an automata-theoretic construction.

Let $L = L(M)$ with $M = (Q, \Delta, \Gamma, q_0, \delta, Q_f)$ a PDA.

We construct a PDA M' accepting $h^{-1}(L)$.

Idea: • We let M' , on input a , generate the word $h(a)$ and simulate M on $h(a)$.

• Since the length of the words is bounded (by $\max\{|h(a)| \mid a \in \Sigma\}$), we can store them in the control state of M' .

(There is a general rule-of-thumb in automata theory:

If some information is bounded, put it into the control state.)

• Every time M wants to read an input symbol,

M' removes a letter from the currently stored word (which is a suffix of some $h(a)$).

If the word becomes empty (ϵ), M' accepts the next input symbol.

• A state of M' is final, if the auxiliary word is empty (ϵ) and the control state of M is final.

Construction:

We define

$$M' := (Q', \Sigma, T', (q_0, \epsilon), \delta', Q_F \times \epsilon)$$

where

$$Q' := \{(q, x) \mid q \in Q, x \text{ a suffix of } h(a) \text{ for some } a \in \Sigma\}.$$

The transition relation δ' is defined as follows:

$$\hookrightarrow (q, \epsilon) \xrightarrow[\epsilon/\epsilon]{a} (q, h(a)) \text{ for all } a \in \Sigma \quad // \text{ Get new input.}$$

$$\hookrightarrow (q, ax) \xrightarrow[\nu_2/\nu_2]{\epsilon} (p, x), \text{ if } q \xrightarrow[\nu_1/\nu_2]{a} p \in \delta \quad // M \text{ reads an input from the auxiliary word.}$$

$$\hookrightarrow (q, x) \xrightarrow[\nu_1/\nu_2]{\epsilon} (p, x), \text{ if } q \xrightarrow[\nu_1/\nu_2]{\epsilon} p \in \delta \quad // M \text{ does an } \epsilon\text{-transition.}$$

□

Theorem (Closure under regular intersection):

If $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is context-free and $R \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is regular,

then $L \cap R$ is context-free.

It is easy to establish the theorem using pushdown automata.

We give a grammar-based construction instead that once more practices the idea of summarizing a computation that we saw when converting a PDA to a CFG.

Proof:

Let $L = L(G)$ with $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ in Chomsky normal form, potentially with $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ and then S not in right-hand sets.

Let $R = L(A)$ with $A = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, \rightarrow, Q_f)$ an NFA without ϵ -transitions.

We construct the grammar $G' = (N', \Sigma, P', S')$ for $L \cap R$ as follows.

The non-terminals are

$$N' := (Q \times N \times Q) \cup SS'S.$$

For the productions, we have

$$S' \rightarrow \epsilon \quad \text{iff} \quad \epsilon \in L \cap R.$$

Moreover, there are productions

$$S' \rightarrow (q_0, S, q_f) \quad \text{for each } q_f \in Q_f.$$

For every non-terminal (q_1, A, q_2) we have

$$(q_1, A, q_2) \rightarrow a, \quad \text{if } q_1 \xrightarrow{a} q_2 \text{ in the NFA and } A \rightarrow a \in P.$$

$$(q_1, A, q_2) \rightarrow (q_1, B, q), (q, C, q_2), \quad \text{if } A \rightarrow BC \in P.$$

There are variants of the latter production for all $q \in Q$.

Intuitively, the grammar guesses the state change in A that is induced by the word derived from B (and from C). □

We again employ the closure properties to disprove context-freeness.

Example (Application of closure properties):

We show that

$L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a,b\}^*\}$ is not context-free.

• Assume it was. Then by closure under regular intersection also

$$L_1 = L \cap a^+b^+a^+b^+ = \{a^i b^j a^i b^j \mid i, j \geq 1\}$$

is context-free.

But L_1 is not context-free by an application of the pumping lemma.
 $\therefore L$ cannot be context-free.

• If we do not want to apply the pumping lemma to L_1 ,

we can further reduce the language to

$$L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^i d^j \mid i, j \geq 1\}.$$

Consider $h: \{a,b,c,d\}^* \rightarrow \{a,b\}^*$ defined by

$$h(a) := a := h(c) \quad \text{and} \quad h(b) := b := h(d).$$

Then

$$h^{-1}(L) = \{x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \mid |x_1| = |x_3| \text{ and } x_1, x_3 \in \{a,c\}^* \\ \text{and } |x_2| = |x_4| \text{ and } x_2, x_4 \in \{b,d\}^*\}.$$

Then $h^{-1}(L) \cap a^*b^*c^*d^* = L_2$.

Moreover, if L was context-free, then so was L_2 .

But L_2 is not context-free by the pumping lemma.

$\therefore L$ cannot be context-free. \square

11.2 Negative Results

Goal: Show that the context-free languages are not closed under intersection and under complement.

Theorem:

- (1) There are context-free languages L_1 and L_2 so that $L_1 \cap L_2$ is not context-free.
- (2) There is a context-free language L where \bar{L} is not context-free.

Proof:

(1) The languages are

$$L_1 = \{a^n b^m c^n \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and}$$

$$L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

with $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, known to be not context-free.

(2) If the context-free languages were closed under complement (which means for each context-free language L , \bar{L} is context-free), then, due to closure under union, we would obtain

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \overline{\bar{L}_1 \cup \bar{L}_2} \text{ being context-free,}$$

for all L_1 and L_2 context-free languages. \square Contradiction to (1).

For a constructive proof, one shows that

$$L = \{a, b\}^* \setminus \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

is context-free.

The complement is

$$\bar{L} = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\},$$

which we just proved to be not context-free. \square