

7. Translation

Goal: • Assume we showed $DTIME(t_1) \subseteq DTIME(t_2)$

We develop a technique to get out of this

further inclusions (among large complexity classes) for free.

• The technique can be used to derive

the general Savitch and Immerman-Szelepcsényi Theorems

from $NL \subseteq DSPACE(\log n^2)$

and $NL = co-NL$.

Idea: • Artificially extend the input by a fresh symbol #

↳ a technique called padding

• Padding does not make the language more complicated, but it reduces the computational effort in the sense that now the input is larger.

7.1 Padding and the Translation Theorems

Definition:

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be a language

and $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a function with $f(n) \geq n$ f.a. $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\# \notin \Sigma$ be a fresh symbol.

We define:

$$\text{Pad}_f(L) := \{ x \#^{f(|x|) - |x|} \mid x \in L \} \subseteq (\Sigma \cup \{\#\})^*$$

Note: Padding turns every word in L of length n into a word from $L\#^*$ of length $f(n)$.

Theorem (Translation for time):

Let f, g be functions with $f(n), g(n) \geq n$ f.a.n.e.M.

Let g be monotone and time constructible.

Given 1^n , let $1^{f(n)}$ be computable in time $g(f(n))$.

For $L \in \Sigma^*$, we have

$$\text{Pady}(L) \in \text{DTIME}(O(g)) \iff L \in \text{DTIME}(O(g \circ f)).$$

Proof:

We do the proof for DTIME, NTIME is similar.

\Rightarrow Let $x \in \Sigma^*$ be an input

We check $x \in L$ in $\text{DTIME}(O(g(f(|x|))))$ as follows.

\hookrightarrow Compute $y = x \#^{f(|x|) - |x|}$ in time $O(g(f(|x|)))$.

\hookrightarrow Check $y \in \text{Pady}(L)$ in $O(g(|y|))$.

Works by the hypothesis.

Note that $g(|y|) = g(f(|x|))$.

\hookrightarrow By definition of $\text{Pady}(L)$:

$$y \in \text{Pady}(L) \iff x \in L.$$

\Leftarrow Let $x \in (\Sigma \cup \{\#\})^*$ be an input.

We check in $\text{DTIME}(O(g(|x|)))$ whether $x \in \text{Pady}(L)$ as follows.

\hookrightarrow Check in time $|x| \leq g(|x|)$ whether $x \in w \#^*$
for some $w \in \Sigma^*$.

Let $x = w \#^{|x| - |w|}$.

\hookrightarrow Compute $1^{g(|x|)}$ in time $O(g(|x|))$.

This works as g is time constructible

and the binary representation can be converted to unary in $O(g(|x|))$ steps.

↳ We now check in time $g(|x|)$ whether

$$|x| = f(|w|) \text{ holds.}$$

To this end, we compute $\#^{f(|w|)}$ in time $g(f(|w|))$.

If the machine wants to compute more than $g(|x|)$ steps, reject.

Why? Since g is monotonic, we have

$$g(f(|w|)) > g(|x|) \Rightarrow f(|w|) > |x|.$$

If we managed to compute $\#^{f(|w|)}$, we can compare it to $\#^{|x|}$.

If $|x| \neq f(|w|)$, reject.

Otherwise, $x = w \#^{f(|w|) - |w|}$.

↳ Check in time $O(g(f(|w|))) = O(g(|x|))$ whether $w \in L$.

Theorem (Translation for space):

Let $g(n) \geq \log n$ be space constructible.

Let $f(n) \geq n$ and so that given an input 1^n we can compute $\text{bin } f(n)$ in space $g(f(n))$.

For $L \in \Sigma^*$, we have

$$\text{Pady}(L) \in \text{SPACE}(g) \text{ iff } L \in \text{SPACE}(g \circ f).$$

7.2 Applications of the Translation Theorems

Consequence of the translation results:

↳ It is more likely that higher complexity classes will collapse.

↳ Phrased differently, to show a separation among complexity classes

one should consider the lower end of the hierarchy.

Lemma (An implication of Kuroda I (next lecture)):

$$DSPACE(n) \neq NSPACE(n) \Rightarrow L \neq NL.$$

Proof:

We proceed by contraposition and assume $NL \subseteq L$.

From this we derive $NSPACE(n) \subseteq DSPACE(n)$.

Let $L \in NSPACE(n)$.

We note that $n = \log \circ \exp$ and thus the translation theorem for space applies.

It yields

$$\text{Pad}_{\exp}(L) \in NSPACE(O(\log n)) = NL.$$

By our assumption

$$NL \subseteq L = DSPACE(O(\log n)).$$

Thus, we can apply the translation for space again and get

$$L \in DSPACE(O(\log \circ \exp)) = DSPACE(n).$$

The last equality uses tape compression. □

Theorem:

$P \neq DSPACE(n)$, P is not the class of deterministic, context-sensitive languages.

Proof:

Consider a language $L \in DSPACE(n^2) \setminus DSPACE(n)$.

Such a language exists by the deterministic space hierarchy result.

Consider the padding function $f(n) = n^2$.

We get $\text{Pad}_f(L) \in DSPACE(n)$.

If now $DSPACE(n) = P$, we had

$\text{Poly}(L) \in DTIME(O(n^k))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

With the translation theorem for time,

$L \in DTIME(O((n^2)^k)) = DTIME(O(n^{2k}))$.

But then

$L \in P = DSPACE(n)$.

⚡ This contradicts the choice of $L \notin DSPACE(n)$.

□

Remark:

Today $P \not\subseteq DSPACE(n)$, $DSPACE(n) \not\subseteq P$,

and $DSPACE(\log n) = P$ are still possible.