

Exercises to the lecture  
Complexity Theory  
Sheet 6

Prof. Dr. Roland Meyer

M.Sc. Peter Chini

Delivery until 09.12.2015 at 12h

**Exercise 6.1** (Reducing *ACYCLICPATH* to *2SAT*)

Let  $G$  be an acyclic graph and  $s$  and  $t$  vertices of  $G$ . We construct a formula  $F$  in CNF as follows: for any edge  $x \rightarrow y$ , we add a clause  $(\neg x \vee y)$ . Moreover, we add the clauses  $(s)$  and  $(\neg t)$ . Show the following:

$$F \text{ is satisfiable} \Leftrightarrow \text{there is no path from } s \text{ to } t \text{ in } G.$$

**Exercise 6.2** (Counter automata)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet and  $A$  an  $k$ -counter two-way automaton over  $\Sigma$ .

- a) The counters of  $A$  may take values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Construct an  $k'$ -counter two-way automaton  $A'$  such that:
  - $A'$  simulates  $A$ , and
  - the counters of  $A'$  only take values in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- b) Assume that  $A$  has linearly bounded semantics and that the counters can only take values in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Construct a  $k'$ -head two-way finite automaton  $B$  that simulates  $A$ .

*This is implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) of the theorem from the lecture about the equal expressiveness of logspace-bounded Turing machines,  $k$ -counter two-way automaton with linearly bounded semantics and  $k$ -head two-way finite automata.*

**Exercise 6.3** (Circuit Value Problem)

We have seen in the lecture that *CVP* is P-complete with respect to logspace-many-one reductions. Evaluating a Boolean formula is in L. Intuitively, one would reduce *CVP* to the corresponding problem for Boolean formulas as follows:

Replace

- $P_k = P_i \vee P_j$  by  $P_k \leftrightarrow P_i \vee P_j$ ,
- $P_k = P_i \wedge P_j$  by  $P_k \leftrightarrow P_i \wedge P_j$ .

Show that this is **not** a logspace-many-one reduction.

**Delivery until 09.12.2015 at 12h into the box next to 34-401.4**