

# Advanced Automata Theory

Emanuele D'Oswaldo

## Exercise Sheet 2

TU Kaiserslautern

Sebastian Muskalla

Summer term 2016

Out: 27 April

Due: 2 May, 12:00

### Exercise 1: Extensions of WMSO

- a) Let us consider  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, \cdot ]$ , the set of WMSO-formulas extended by concatenation. This means if  $\varphi, \psi$  are  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, \cdot ]$ -formulas, then  $\varphi.\psi$  is also a  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, \cdot ]$ -formula. Give semantics to concatenation (i.e. define when  $\mathcal{S}(w), \mathcal{I} \models \varphi.\psi$  should be satisfied) so that

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi.\psi) = \mathcal{L}(\varphi) \cdot \mathcal{L}(\psi) .$$

- b) Present a  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}]$  formula that is equivalent to  $\varphi.\psi$ .
- c) For some fixed alphabet  $\Sigma$ , let us consider  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, [a]_{a \in \Sigma}]$ , the set of WMSO-formulas extended by an operator  $[a]$  for each symbol of the alphabet, i.e. if  $\varphi$  is a  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, [a]_{a \in \Sigma}]$ -formula, then  $[a]\varphi$  for any  $a \in \Sigma$  is also a  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, [a]_{a \in \Sigma}]$ -formula. Give semantics to  $[a]\varphi$  so that

$$\mathcal{L}([a]\varphi) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid aw \in \mathcal{L}(\varphi)\} .$$

Furthermore, prove that  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}, [a]_{a \in \Sigma}]$  is equally expressive as  $\text{WMSO}[\langle, \text{succ}]$  by extending the translation from formulas to automata. This means that you should show how given an automaton for  $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ , one can construct an automaton for  $\mathcal{L}([a]\varphi)$ .

### Exercise 2: Weak Dyadic Second Order Logic

Let WDSO be like WMSO with the modification that all second order variables  $X$  are dyadic instead of being monadic, i.e. they represent sets of pairs. Instead of having a predicate  $X(x)$  ("x is in X"), we have predicate  $X(x, y)$  ("(x, y) is in X"). The syntax and semantics of WDSO are the same with those of WMSO up to the predicate replacement:

$$\mathcal{S}(w), \mathcal{I} \models X(x, y) \quad \text{iff.} \quad (\mathcal{I}(x), \mathcal{I}(y)) \in \mathcal{I}(X)$$

$$\mathcal{S}(w), \mathcal{I} \models \exists X. \varphi \quad \text{iff.} \quad \text{there is a finite set } M \subseteq D(w) \times D(w) \text{ such that } \mathcal{S}(w), \mathcal{I}[X \mapsto M] \models \varphi .$$

We want to show that the class of languages representable by WDSO-formulas does strictly include than the set of regular languages.

- a) Give (with arguments) a WDSO-formula that defines the language  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ .
- b) Show how to translate a WMSO-formula  $\psi$  into a WDSO-formula that defines the same language.

### Exercise 3: From WMSO to Finite Automata

- a) Using the method presented in the lecture, construct a finite automaton that accepts the language defined by the formula

$$\varphi = \exists x \exists y: x < y \wedge P_a(x) \wedge P_a(y).$$

- b) Present a WMSO[<, suc]-formula that defines the language  $((aa)^*b)^*$ .

### Exercise 4: WMSO Expressiveness

- a) Show that WMSO[<, suc] and WMSO[suc] are equally expressive.
- b) Show that WMSO[<, suc] and WMSO[<] are equally expressive.